

Consultation Responses for Arran Drive

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your street?		Do you agree with the layout of the proposed DYL?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line										
5	Bickley Court, Arran Drive	29/06/2010	1			1			1	Garage too small for car, in evening need all parking places available
9	Kenmare Court, Arran Drive	29/06/2010	1				1		1	Only agree with DYL on bends
12	Dingle Court, Arran Drive	29/06/2010	1			1			1	Do not need DYL, refuse do not turn their lorry into that part of Arran Drive
32	Gressenham Court, Arran Drive	16/06/2010	1			1			1	Need more parking not less
37	Brompton Court, Arran Drive	17/06/2010	1			1			1	Should be adding spaces not removing them
47	Brompton Court, Arran Drive	16/06/2010	1			1			1	Parking hard enough as it is, DYL will prevent parking o/s own flat
54	Gressenham Court, Arran Drive	10/06/2010	1				1		1	Parking ok as it is DYL will cause loss of parking
72	Kenmare Court, Arran Drive	10/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
74	Kenmare Court, Arran Drive	10/06/2010	1				1		1	Will make finding parking more difficult
75	Kelmscott Court, Arran Drive	11/06/2010	1			1			1	Wants more parking not less
93	Warren Fields, Arran Drive	09/06/2010	1			1			1	Existing restrictions can only just cope, extra restrictions will make it worse
94	Bickley Court, Arran Drive	09/06/2010	1			1			1	DYL will make parking harder
100	Warren Fields, Arran Drive	09/06/2010	1			1			1	More resident parking needed as difficult to park, too many disabled spaces
120	Brompton Court, Arran Drive	16/06/2010	1				1		1	Bays should be extended, DYL will reduce parking
122	Dingle Court, Arran Drive	16/06/2010	1					1		Not a car driver
129	Warren Fields, Arran Drive	14/06/2010	1			1			1	More parking required
134	Kelmscott Court, Arran Drive	14/06/2010	1			1			1	Parking already limited
149	Kenmare Court, Arran Drive	07/06/2010	1			1			1	Cut back existing permit bay too close to disabled bay
150	Kenmare Court, Arran Drive	25/06/2010	1			1			1	States survey needed to determine residents parking habits before implementing DYL
153	Brompton Court, Arran Drive		1			1			1	DYL not needed
154	Warren Fields, Arran Drive	15/06/2010	1					1		No comments
159	Kelmscott Court, Arran Drive	28/06/2010	1			1			1	Space needed for parking
162	Gressenham Court, Arran Drive	01/07/2010	1			1			1	Letter from management company opposing restrictions after several complaints to them from residents
163	Gressenham Court, Arran Drive	25/06/2010	1			1			1	Doesn't want DYL on bend
165	Gressenham Court, Arran Drive	28/06/2010	1			1			1	Need more bays, no DYL on bend
166	Kelmscott Court, Arran Drive	28/06/2010	1			1			1	Not enough parking as it is
Sub totals		26	26	0	0	20	4	3	23	

Consultation Responses for Bernays Close

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your street?		Do you agree with the proposed changes?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
45	Bernays Close	16/06/2010	1				1		1	Can not see why changes are proposed
78	Bernays Close	14/06/2010	1						1	Prefer things to remain as they are
111	Bernays Close	09/06/2010	1				1		1	How road is at moment is perfect,extra bays will restrict access
121	Bernays Close	16/06/2010	1						1	Bays on both sides would not allow access for emergency services
Sub totals		4	4	0	0	0	2	0	4	

Consultation Responses for Copley Road

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your street?		Do you agree with the layout of the proposed DYL?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line										
11	Copley Road	28/06/2010	1				1	1		Garages too small for cars
28	Copley Road	14/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
68	Copley Road	18/06/2010	1					1		Long overdue
73	Copley Road	10/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
112	Copley Road	09/06/2010	1			1		1		Restrictions should be enforced on match days
115	Copley Road	09/06/2010	1			1			1	No need for DYL parking needed
119	Copley Road	09/06/2010	1			1			1	Need more bays
140	Copley Road	14/06/2010	1			1		1		Would like parking bay (disabled)
Sub totals			8	0	0	6	1	6	2	

Consultation Responses for Du Cros Drive

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your street?		Do you agree with the layout of the proposed DYL?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line										
23	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	14/06/2010	1					1		No comments
25	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	14/06/2010	1					1		No comments
38	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	17/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
53	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	10/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
59	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	10/06/2010	1					1		Will make crossing road for elderly people easier
60	Orford Ct, Marsh Lane	10/06/2010	1			1		1		Also needed opposite entrance to Orford Ct
63	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	10/06/2010	1				1	1		Duplicate response from same address - not counted in totals
67	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	18/06/2010	1					1		No comments
99	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	09/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
107	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	09/06/2010	1				1	1		Totally agree, opposite side needs DYL also
110	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	09/06/2010	1					1		No comments
128	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	16/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
135	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	14/06/2010	1					1		DYL needed for safety of elderly people Pembroke Lodge
136	Orford Ct, Marsh Lane	14/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
139	Pembroke Lodge, Du Cros Drive	14/06/2010	1					1		No comments
Sub totals		14	14	0	0	1	6	14	0	

Consultation Responses for Glebe Road

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your street?		Do you agree with the layout of the proposed DYL?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line										
4	Glebe Road	28/06/2010	1				1		1	Feels parking will be lost outside hour of control, has blue badge
22	Glebe Road	14/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
31	Glebe Road	14/06/2010	1					1		No longer has car
43	Glebe Road	16/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
55	Glebe Road	10/06/2010	1					1		No comments
76	Glebe Road	11/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
113	Glebe Court	09/06/2010	1			1			1	Does not want DYL in turning head
117	Glebe Road	09/06/2010	1						1	Wants parking bay removed and replaced with DYL so doctors can still park when visiting her
130	Glebe Court	14/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
206	Glebe Road	25/06/2010	1						1	DYL will not make people park properly, more wardens needed
Sub totals		10	10	0	0	3	3	6	4	

Consultation Responses for Gleneagles

APPENDIX C

Ref	Road Name	Date	Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	Any comments
										SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line
27	Gleneagles	14/06/2010	1			1			1	Not enough parking for residents at present, will remove parking
44	Gleneagles	30/06/2010	1			1			1	No need for DYL, requests traffic calming on Gordon Av, consider 20mph zone
58	Gordon Av	10/06/2010	1				1	1		Excellent, repave south side paving in Gordon Ave
66	Gleneagles	18/06/2010	1				1	1		No parking problems but visitors do experience difficulty, no dyl in parts
88	Gleneagles	14/06/2010	1				1	1		Has been request DYL enforcement for sometime as dangerous exiting
92	Gleneagles	09/06/2010	1			1				No comments
96	Gleneagles	09/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
97	Gleneagles	09/06/2010	1			1			1	Will make people park in Gleneagles making resident parking harder to find
116	Gleneagles	09/06/2010	1				1		1	not needed
127	Gleneagles	16/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
132	Gleneagles	14/06/2010	1				1	1		DYL will make things safer
137	Gleneagles	14/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
138	Gleneagles	14/06/2010	1			1			1	DYL in Gordon Av should have a break for 2 car spaces before golf club
148	Gleneagles	14/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
151	Gleneagles	25/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
152	Gleneagles	23/06/2010	1			1			1	DYL should not extend into Gleneagles, space needed for parking
157	Gleneagles	no date stamp	1			1			1	Not enough parking for residents at present, will remove parking
305	Gleneagles	16/06/2010	1				1		1	DYL on bend should be shorter to allow max parking
sub totals			18	0	0	11	7	10	8	

APPENDIX C

Consultation Responses for Green Lane and Culverlands Close

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 4		Question 5		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your road?		Would you support the extension of parking zone B into your road?		If you answered No to Q3, should parking controls be introduced in the road next to yours, would you then support residents parking proposal ?		Would you support the layout of the parking permit bays and parking controls shown on the plan in your road		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
7	Green Lane	02/07/2010	1			1		1				1		Very dangerous for blind people, no current restrictions and difficult for motorists, people do not give way to traffic
17	Green Lane Cottages	28/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Commutes do not park in Green Lane , property values will reduce, poor proposal, scheme not fair
131	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No comments
133	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Not needed
143	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1			1		1		1				Requests one way for top of Green Lane, DYL extended
147	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1			1		1		1			1	Restrictions on only one side of road Mon-Fri
197	Green Lane	23/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Only parking problems during school times, residents should still be allowed to park in way of permit
198	Green Lane	23/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Residents will not be able to park near properties
205	Green Lane	25/06/2010	1			1			1		1		1	Access impossible for emergency services at present
207	Green Lane	25/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Will devalue properties so against scheme
209	Green Lane	25/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Cars turning right into Green Lane from Stanmore Hill
210	Green Lane	25/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Proposal prevents residents parking outside house and will devalue property, Make Green Lane one way
211	Green Lane	25/06/2010	1			1		1			1		1	Area is already monitored well by traffic wardens
216	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No comments
217	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No parking problems, volume of traffic flow an issue
218	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	Accident waiting to happen, CPZ will stop all day commuters
219	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Devalue house prices, residents could not leave cars all day outside own homes
221	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	School parents and cottages owners cars cause major problems from rest of the residents
222	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	Additional restrictions from 10-11am, hasn't read plan properly
223	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No parking problems
224	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Traffic issues though proposal will cause more inconvenience, disgusted that he would have to pay for a permit
226	Green Lane	10/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	Slightly confused over plan, will support all day controls to stop commuters
229	Green Lane	10/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	No comments
232	Green Lane	10/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No parking problems, speed and type of traffic is main problem
235	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Doesn't want proposal
237	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	See attached comments 2 pages
238	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	Traffic flow major problem due to parking both sides of Green Lane
239	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	School parents cause main traffic problems
241	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Would make road unsafe as traffic speed would increase, speed humps also required
242	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1			1		1			1		1	Residents have own drives, bays should be provided for people that do not have off-street parking
245	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1			1		1			1		1	School makes access almost impossible at picking up/ dropping off times
246	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	School parents causing problems can be abusive and rude
248	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No comments
250	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	Wants controls 3-5pm Mon-Fri, traffic calming would be better
253	Green Lane	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Wants to park outside own house, amount of traffic is the issue

SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line

APPENDIX C

257	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	Traffic speed to great, lollipop lady needed, DYL needs to be extended at top of Green Lane		
259	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Manny vans and taxis park overnight should be prevented		
260	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Do not want to be part of a CPZ, Green Lane needs to be preserved as it is		
261	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Will not make street safer or improve parking, residents will be angry about paying to park		
263	Green Lane	14/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Not needed money should be used to fill in potholes		
264	Green Lane	11/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	important to maintain conservation look of Green Lane, no changes		
266	Green Lane	11/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Upper section of Green Lane will have no parking provisions, inadequate proposal, waste of money		
267	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No comments		
268	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Need to park outside own home, no off-street parking		
270	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Need provisions for parking		
274	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Happy how things are at present		
275	Green Lane	16/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Permit bays in Green Lane fro residents		
279	Green Lane	17/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Lower value of home if further parking restrictions are applied		
3	Culverlands Close	01/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No comments		
10	Culverlands Close	28/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	worried about lack of parking proposed		
13	Culverlands Close	28/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Request parking spaces on roundabout		
191	Culverlands Close	23/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Comments on speed no CPZ comments		
194	Culverlands Close	28/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	we welcome this proposal and would like to work with the council to create workable solutions to the parking and traffic solutions in the area		
202	Culverlands Close	25/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Not enough parking proposed		
236	Culverlands Close	09/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No comments		
243	Culverlands Close	09/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No comments		
244	Culverlands Close	09/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	See attached letter		
256	Culverlands Close	14/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Family and friends would not be able to park causing inconvenience if controls were introduced (though ticked yes to supporting extension)		
258	Culverlands Close	14/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No problems only when refuse collect rubbish though we 'kerb' the parking when they are due		
269	Culverlands Close	16/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Proposals not suitable		
273	Culverlands Close	16/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	See response		
278	Culverlands Close	10/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Proposals not suitable		
280	Culverlands Close	17/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	See response		
289	Culverlands Close	09/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No comments		
299	Culverlands Close	09/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Just moved to area - so no comments		
300	Culverlands Close	07/06/2010	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Letter from solicitor objecting on behalf of owners		
Green Lane			48	0	0	17	31	17	31	12	30	11	36	
Culverlands Close			17	0	0	8	6	7	9	5	5	3	12	
Sub totals			65	65	0	0	25	37	24	40	17	35	14	48

Consultation Responses for Ingram Close

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your street?		Do you agree with the layout of the proposed DYL?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line										
8	Ingram Close	29/06/2010	1				1			Did not answer Q3, just stated would like a disabled bay
41	Ingram Close	17/06/2010	1				1		1	No reason for DYL
46	Ingram Close	16/06/2010	1				1	1		Leave SYL as they are, though ticked yes to upgrading to DYL
51	Ingram Close	10/06/2010	1				1		1	DYL opposite permit bays, difficult for people at end of road
84	Ingram Close	14/06/2010	1				1		1	States DYL only needed in turning head and junction, can not double park as road is too narrow
86	Ingram Close	14/06/2010	1					1		Good for access for emergency services
106	Ingram Close	09/06/2010	1				1		1	No problems for access at the moment
146	Ingram Close	14/06/2010	1							Has not answered questions
304	Ingram Close	16/06/2010	1				1		1	I believe double yellow lines are not necessary
Sub totals			9	0	0	0	7	2	5	

Consultation Responses for Linden Close

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you experience difficulty parking near your home/ business?		Do you agree with the proposals to remove parking controls?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
49	Linden Close	16/06/2010	1			1		1		Not enough parking with controls,builders will not accept work due to controls
61	Linden Close	10/06/2010	1			1		1		Difficult to park as not enough permit bays
79	Linden Close	14/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
81	Linden Close	14/06/2010	1			1		1		Not enough parking at present
sub totals			4	0	0	4	0	4	0	

Consultation Responses for Malcolm Court

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 4		Question 5		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your road?		Would you support the extension of parking zone B into your road?		If you answered No to Q3, should parking controls be introduced in the road next to yours, would you then support residents parking proposal ?		Would you support the layout of the parking permit bays and parking controls shown on the plan in your road		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
														SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line
16	Malcolm Court	28/06/2010	1			1								Did not fill in answers for questions, parking problems due to how people are parking, no space for large vehicles to turn, against a CPZ
50	Malcolm Court	16/06/2010	1				1		1				1	Would cause lots of parking problems for residents
196	Malcolm Court	28/06/2010	1			1			1				1	See letter
213	Malcolm Court	25/06/2010	1			1		1						Not enough parking at present, vehicles park on footway
225	Malcolm Court	16/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1		Cars double park causing damage to paving, access is blocked for emergency service vehicles as people currently park
233	Malcolm Court	10/06/2010	1			1		1				1		Is a parking problem ppl park where they want with no consideration
271	Malcolm Court	16/06/2010	1			1			1			1		No comments
277	Malcolm Court	16/06/2010	1			1			1			1	1	Silly idea to have DYL in Malcolm Court
Sub totals			8	0	0	7	1	3	4	1	3	3	4	

Consultation Responses for Morecombe Gardens

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your street?		Do you agree with the layout of the proposed DYL?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
295	Morecombe Gardens	09/06/2010	1			1		1		No comments
296	Morecombe Gardens	15/06/2010	1				1		1	Visitors will not be able to park for residents
297	Morecombe Gardens	10/06/2010	1					1		No comments
298	Morecombe Gardens	16/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
Sub totals		4	4	0	0	1	2	3	1	

Consultation Responses for Pangbourne Drive

APPENDIX C

Ref	Road Name	Date	Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 4		Question 5		Question 6
			Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Any comments
14	Pangbourne Drive	28/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Have driveway, do not want to pay as rates are already enough
20	Pangbourne Drive	25/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No support from residents
144	Pangbourne Drive	14/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Only problems with Wembley events
167	Pangbourne Drive	15/06/2010	1			1		1					1	The proposed layout blights the streetscape by bulking up car parking in relatively few locations
168	Pangbourne Drive	15/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	No comment
169	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	No comment
170	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	The parking permit should be for whatever vehicle it is at the time
171	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	Nocomment
172	Pangbourne Drive	14/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Against the proposal
173	Pangbourne Drive	28/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No comment
174	Pangbourne Drive	25/06/2010	1				1		1			1	1	No Comment
175	Pangbourne Drive	18/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	We feel the present parking controls are sufficient no further actions should be taken
176	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Does not think the road needs parking controls, thinks the council are doing this for the money
177	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	The only problem is mainly caused by the school run otherwise there is no parking problem
178	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	For the third time no restrictions
179	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Parking is a problem once in a while, once a month maybe doesn't see a reason for parking control's
180	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No comment relating to the cpz proposals
181	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No comment
182	Pangbourne Drive	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Parking bays and street furniture are ugly and destroy the local amenities
183	Pangbourne Drive	11/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No comment
184	Pangbourne Drive	11/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Would be an inconvenience
185	Pangbourne Drive	16/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	I don't think we have a parking problem and the existing restrictions are sufficient
186	Pangbourne Drive	17/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Wasting tax payers money
187	Pangbourne Drive	10/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Waste of time and money
188	Pangbourne Drive	10/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	The only problems are uneven pavement and speeding
189	Pangbourne Drive	10/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No comment
190	Stonegrove	10/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1	1	No comment
Sub totals		27	27	0	0	6	21	6	20	3	21	6	21	

SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line

Consultation Responses for Rectory lane

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you agree with the layout of the proposed DYL?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	
282	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
283	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
284	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/10/2010	1			1		No comments
285	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
286	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
287	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
288	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
289	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
290	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
291	Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
292	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
293	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		No comments
294	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	26/07/2010	1			1		Thinks 3-4pm time is a problem for visitors and care workers
306	Rectory Lane	06/08/2010	1			1		No comments
307	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	29/06/2010	1			1		At least 2 disabled bays required
308	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	no date stamp	1			1		2 or 3 disabled bays
309	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	no date stamp	1			1		No comments
310	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	no date stamp	1			1		2 disabled bays
311	Wolstenholme, Rectory Lane	no date stamp	1			1		No comments
Sub totals		19	19	0	0	19	0	

APPENDIX C

Consultation Responses for Rees Drive area

Ref	Road Name	Date	Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Any comments
			Are You	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	
SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line										
2	Berry Hill	1 June 2010	1				1		1	Happy with current controls, serves her well as parking is available for carers and visitors
6	Berry Hill	29 June 2010	1				1		1	Remove Saturday restrictions only, change time to 13.00-14.00 and remove SYL and DYL
21	Berry Hill	14 June 2010	1				1	1		Remove yellow lines from my drive
30	Berry Hill	14 June 2010	1					1		Remove DYL from O/S 18 Berry Hill
33	Berry Hill	10 June 2010	1				1	1		Having controls has made parking worse
34	Berry Hill	10 June 2010	1					1		No comments
65	Berry Hill	18 June 2010	1				1	1		No comments
80	Berry Hill	14 June 2010	1					1		No comments
83	Berry Hill	14 June 2010	1					1		Remove Saturday restrictions, remove yellow lines except on bends and corners
90	Berry Hill	9 June 2010	1				1			Did not answer Q 3, want Saturday restrictions removed and yellow lines except DYL on corners, Mon-Fri only between 1-2pm
124	Berry Hill	16 June 2010	1						1	Mon-Fri restrictions only, remove some yellow lines
142	Berry Hill	14 June 2010	1					1		Doesn't like restrictions
156	Berry Hill	23 June 2010	1					1		Agrees with removing bays in Berry Hill but wants more DYL and parking only on one side of Brockleyside
160	Berry Hill		1				1		1	Change hours to 1-2pm
161	Berry Hill		1				1		1	Remove Saturday restrictions and change time to 1-2pm
164	Berry Hill	25 June 2010	1					1		Wants more DYL on slip road
302	Berry Hill	10 June 2010	1				1	1	1	This system should never be removed
1	Brockleyside	1 June 2010	1				1	1		No comments
24	Brockleyside	14 June 2010							1	Only remove restrictions on weekends. Add some DYL and remove others, see plan
26	Brockleyside	14 June 2010	1					1		Did not answer Q3, change times from 1-2 for doctors
35	Brockleyside	10 June 2010	1					1	1	Changes not necessary
48	Brockleyside	16 June 2010	1						1	Wish to keep controls but want hours changed, did not fill out questionnaire but enclosed letter
89	Brockleyside	8 June 2010	1					1	1	No comments
104	Brockleyside	9 June 2010	1					1	1	No comments
125	Brockleyside	16 June 2010	1				1		1	Mon-Fri restrictions only, remove some yellow lines o/s surgery
29	Chevalier Close	14 June 2010	1					1	1	Current controls are working
39	Chevalier Close	17 June 2010	1					1	1	No comments
57	Chevalier Close	10 June 2010	1					1	1	Previous problems of blocking access and drives will return if controls are removed, totally disagrees with proposal
62	Chevalier Close	10 June 2010	1				1	1		Only 1 permit bay by her house making access to property difficult
82	Chevalier Close	14 June 2010	1					1	1	Remain as they are has stopped all day parking by non residents
103	Chevalier Close	9 June 2010	1					1	1	DYL and bays should remain in Rees Drive for access
109	Chevalier Close	9 June 2010	1					1	1	Parking controls should remain especially at junctions

APPENDIX C

123	Chevalier Close	16 June 2010	1			1		1		No comments
155	Chevalier Close		1			1		1		Mon-Fri restrictions only change some DYL to bays
36	Partridge Close	17 June 2010	1			1		1		SYL No 1-2 should be deleted
64	Partridge Close	10 June 2010	1			1		1		Only restrictions on event days
69	Partridge Close	18 June 2010	1			1		1		DYL should remain on bends
102	Partridge Close	9 June 2010	1			1	1	1		No comments
114	Partridge Close	9 June 2010	1			1		1		DYL a nuisance
303	Partridge Close	10 June 2010	1			1		1		We live in cul-de-sac area where parking restriction is unnecessary
40	Rees Drive	17 June 2010	1			1		1		Parking controls perfect as they are
42	Rees Drive	16 June 2010	1			1		1		No problems in Rees Drive
52	Rees Drive	10 June 2010	1			1		1		10-11am should stay
56	Rees Drive	10 June 2010	1			1		1		No comments
70	Rees Drive	10 June 2010	1			1		1		Parking bays need to remain for access for larger vehicles ie refuse delivery vehicles
71	Rees Drive	10 June 2010	1			1		1		No restrictions needed in their cul de sac
77	Rees Drive	14 June 2010	1			1		1		100% yes, makes roads look ugly
85	Rees Drive	14 June 2010	1			1	1	1		Keep current restrictions as can now access driveway clearly
87	Rees Drive	14 June 2010	1			1		1		Parking restrictions not needed
108	Rees Drive	9 June 2010	1			1		1		No comments
118	Rees Drive	9 June 2010	1			1		1		DYL should be in service road
126	Rees Drive	16 June 2010	1			1		1		Want to keep controls, if they go could we leave SYL over their drive
141	Rees Drive	14 June 2010	1			1		1		Keep controls, if possible more bays
145	Rees Drive	14 June 2010	1			1		1		Change DYL, 10-11am times Mon-Fri only
301	Rees Drive	23 June 2010	1			1		1		Like existing to remain unchanged
Berry Hill			17	0	0	8	6	10	6	
Brockleyside			7	0	0	2	4	3	4	
Chevalier Close			9	0	0	3	6	4	5	
Partridge Close			6	0	0	5	1	6	0	
Rees Drive			15	0	0	7	6	7	8	
Sub totals			54	0	0	25	23	30	23	

Consultation Responses for Spring Lake

APPENDIX C

Ref	Road Name	Date	Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 4		Question 5		Question 6
			Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Any comments
214	Spring Lake	09/06/2010	1			1		1				1		Would like permit parking for residents only as well
220	Spring Lake	16/06/2010	1			1		1		1		1		Parking problems from commuters and school parents
228	Spring Lake	10/06/2010	1			1		1				1		Would like longer restrictions
234	Spring Lake	09/06/2010	1			1		1				1		Morning restrictions also requested 8-9am
251	Spring Lake	14/06/2010	1			1		1				1		Parents causing problems at school times, problems for emergency services, would like morning restrictions as well
265	Spring Lake	11/06/2010	1			1		1				1		No comments
Sub totals		6	6	0	0	6	0	6	0	1	0	6	0	

APPENDIX C

Consultation Responses for Stanmore Hill

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 4		Question 5		Question 6	
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your road?		Would you support the extension of parking zone B into your road?		If you answered No to Q3, should parking controls be introduced in the road next to yours, would you then support residents parking proposal ?		Would you support the layout of the parking permit bays and parking controls shown on the plan in your road		Any comments	
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line	
18	Stanmore Hill	25/06/2010	1			1		1				1		Controls and DYL needed	
19	Stanmore Hill	25/06/2010	1			1		1				1		No comments	
193	Stanmore Hill	28/06/2010		1			1		1				1	Against wrote a letter	
195	Stanmore Hill	28/06/2010		1		1		1				1		No comments	
199	Stanmore Hill	23/06/2010		1			1		1				1	No comments	
200	Stanmore Hill	12/06/2010	1			1		1				1		Disabled have problems parking, is a car there without a tag. (tax disc)	
215	Stanmore Hill	16/06/2010		1		1		1				1		More P&D for customers	
227	Stanmore Hill	10/06/2010	1				1		1				1	No comments	
231	Stanmore Hill	18/06/2010	1			1		1		1			1	Resident only bays at top of hill by Green Lane	
252	Stanmore Hill	14/06/2010	1			1		1				1		Parking is dreadful despite existing DYL, cars park both sides causing August 2009 sent petition	
254	Stanmore Hill	14/06/2010	1			1		1				1		No comments	
255	Stanmore Hill	14/06/2010	1				1		1				1	No comments	
276	Stanmore Hill	16/06/2010	1			1		1					1	No comments	
281	Stanmore Hill	08/06/2010	1			1		1					1	Include Saturday restrictions as well	
Sub totals			14	10	4	0	10	4	11	3	1	2	9	4	

Consultation Responses for Sunningdale Close

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your street?		Do you agree with the layout of the proposed DYL?		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	
SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line										
91	Gordon Avenue	09/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
95	Gordon Avenue	09/06/2010	1				1		1	Not needed already has Ghost Capes and too far from shops for people to park
98	Gordon Avenue	09/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
101	Gordon Avenue	09/06/2010	1				1			Has not answered Q3, says ghost capes are already in place, needs DYL on outside of bend leading to golf course
105	Gordon Avenue	09/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
158	Gordon Avenue	12/06/2010	1				1	1		No comments
Sub totals		6	6	0	0	0	6	4	1	

Consultation Responses for Water Gardens

APPENDIX C

			Question 1			Question 2		Question 3		Question 4		Question 5		Question 6
			Are You			Do you consider there is a parking problem in your road?		Would you support the extension of parking zone B into your road?		If you answered No to Q3, should parking controls be introduced in the road next to yours, would you then support residents parking proposal ?		Would you support the layout of the parking permit bays and parking controls shown on the plan in your road		Any comments
Ref	Road Name	Date	Resident	Business	Both	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
SYL = Single yellow line; DYL = Double yellow line														
15	Water Gardens	28/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Do not need CPZ, DYL needed in Gordan Avenue
192	Water gardens	23/06/2010	1				1	1					1	Objects to CPZ
201	Water Gardens	25/06/2010	1				1				1		1	No parking problems
203	Water Gardens	25/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No parking problems, exactly same comments as Ref.201
204	Water Gardens	25/06/2010	1				1				1		1	No comments
208	Water Gardens	25/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No parking problems, exactly same comments as Ref.201
212	Water Gardens	25/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No parking problems, exactly same comments as Ref.201
230	Water Gardens	10/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	No parking problems, waste of time
240	Water Gardens	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Says see attached letter though no letter was in with response (no staple mark to indicate letter was attached)
247	Water Gardens	09/06/2010	1			1			1		1		1	Providing parking bays not feasible as this would narrow the road
249	Water Gardens	09/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Extend existing DYL on junction
272	Water Gardens	16/06/2010	1				1		1		1		1	Bays and markings would encourage non resident parking
Sub totals		12	12	0	0	1	11	1	11	0	11	0	12	